Christian Theological Analysis of Humanoid Technology Development: Perspectives on Imago Dei and Digital Ethics

Budiman Widjaja[1], Amirrudin Zalukhu[2], Sam Un Eng[3]

Sekolah Tinggi Teologi Cipanas, Indonesia[1,2], Chab Dai Coalition - Phnom Penh, Cambodia[3]
Corresponding author: Budiman Widjaja (teknik.budiman123@gmail.com)

Abstract:

The rapid advancement of humanoid technologies and artificial intelligence has raised significant ethical and theological questions, particularly within the Christian context. This study examines the development of humanoid technology through the lens of Christian theology, focusing on the concept of Imago Dei and principles of digital ethics. Drawing on qualitative, literature-based analysis, the research explores how the spiritual, moral, and relational dimensions of humanity cannot be authentically replicated by artificial agents. The study also highlights potential risks associated with humanoid technology, including manipulation, bias, and erosion of human dignity. By integrating theological reflection with digital ethical principles, the research proposes guidelines for responsible design, deployment, and interaction with humanoid systems. Emphasis is placed on preserving human dignity, promoting justice, and ensuring that technological innovation serves the common good. The findings underscore the importance of interdisciplinary collaboration among theologians, ethicists, and technologists to align technological progress with moral and spiritual values. This approach provides a normative framework for ethically coherent and theologically informed humanoid development.

Keywords:
humanoid technology, Imago Dei, Christian Theology, digital ethics, human dignity

INTRODUCTION

The rapid advancement of humanoid technology and artificial intelligence (AI) has transformed contemporary human experiences, blurring the boundaries between organic and synthetic agents. Humanoid robots, designed to emulate human behaviour and appearance, are increasingly integrated into social, professional, and domestic spheres, creating unprecedented forms of human-machine interaction. (Seifert et al., 2022; DeFalco, 2020). This evolution raises complex ethical and spiritual questions, particularly regarding human identity, responsibility, and the nature of personhood in an era where machines can mimic cognitive and emotional capacities. For example, humanoid robots designed as social companions for the elderly are now capable of simulating empathy through facial expressions and vocal intonations, often leading users to form emotional attachments that blur the boundary between authentic and artificial relationships. (Deusdad, 2024). In another case, advanced AI-driven pastoral chatbots have begun offering spiritual guidance, prompting debate over whether non-human agents can legitimately participate in traditionally human-centred practices such as counselling, moral discernment, or even liturgical functions. (Zalukhu, 2025). Moreover, the rise of AI-generated digital personas—some of which continue to interact with loved ones after their human creators have died—adds further complexity by challenging theological and ethical understandings of memory, presence, and the continuity of personal identity. As humans engage more intimately with humanoid entities, the theological implications of such interactions demand rigorous reflection. In particular, Christian theology, with its emphasis on the Imago Dei—the belief that humans are created in the image of God—offers a unique lens for examining the moral and spiritual ramifications of developing machines that resemble humans. Understanding this theological perspective is crucial for navigating the challenges posed by AI and robotics in an ethically and spiritually coherent manner.

The central problem addressed in this study concerns the ethical and theological evaluation of humanoid technology from a Christian standpoint. Key questions include how humanoid technology aligns or conflicts with the theological concept of the Imago Dei, what responsibilities humans bear in creating and deploying humanoid machines, and how digital ethics can inform their design and use. These questions arise from the intersection of technological capability and moral accountability, highlighting a need for frameworks that reconcile innovation with spiritual and ethical integrity. In particular, the Imago Dei framework provides insights into preserving human dignity and relationality in contexts where machines increasingly participate in human-like interactions. Furthermore, applying digital ethics within this theological lens allows for the development of guidelines that prevent misuse and promote socially responsible innovation. Addressing these questions contributes to a deeper understanding of both contemporary technology and enduring moral principles.

This study aims to explore the intersection of Christian theology, humanoid technology, and digital ethics. It seeks to examine how theological principles regarding human creation and dignity can inform the design, development, and deployment of humanoid machines. By integrating Imago Dei with contemporary discussions of digital responsibility, the research aspires to construct an ethical framework that guides developers, policymakers, and society in managing the complexities of humanoid interaction. Additionally, the study endeavours to identify potential tensions between technological advancement and spiritual values, offering

constructive approaches for harmonising these domains. The research also seeks to advance interdisciplinary dialogue, bridging theology, ethics, and technology studies in ways that are rarely addressed in current literature. Ultimately, the study intends to provide conceptual tools for evaluating humanoid technology through a lens that respects both innovation and human moral significance.

The significance of this research lies in its potential to shape ethical and responsible approaches to humanoid technology. By articulating a theologically informed framework, it can guide developers and stakeholders in creating machines that honour human dignity and relationality. Moreover, the study contributes to contemporary Christian scholarship by addressing pressing technological questions that traditional theological discourse has not fully engaged. It provides a resource for academic inquiry, offering a systematic evaluation of how spiritual and ethical values intersect with digital innovation. The research also has practical implications, informing policies and standards for the responsible development and use of humanoid AI. In doing so, it bridges the gap between abstract theological principles and tangible technological practices, making theology relevant to modern digital challenges.

Despite the growing body of research on AI ethics, few studies integrate Christian theology with digital ethics in the context of humanoid technology. Most current literature emphasises technical performance. (Umoga et al., 2024), general ethical frameworks (Prem, 2023), or social impacts without explicitly addressing the Imago Dei or spiritual considerations (Bao et al., 2022; Solaiman et al., 2023). This research identifies a critical gap: the lack of practical, theologically grounded guidance for humanoid developers who aim to respect human dignity. By situating digital innovation within a Christian ethical perspective, the study responds to the pressing need for scholarship that addresses both technical and moral dimensions. It offers a nuanced understanding of how theology can inform the responsible design and deployment of humanoid machines. Filling this gap is essential for fostering ethically aligned technological development that acknowledges human uniqueness.

The novelty of this study lies in its interdisciplinary integration of Christian theology, the concept of Imago Dei, and digital ethics in evaluating humanoid technology. Synthesising these domains provides a conceptual framework for ethical innovation that is both morally grounded and technologically informed. The research offers critical analysis applicable across multiple academic disciplines, including theology, ethics, robotics, and AI studies. Furthermore, it provides practical insights for designers, engineers, and policymakers striving to ensure that humanoid technology complements rather than compromises human dignity. By foregrounding spiritual and moral considerations in the development of humanoids, the study presents a unique contribution to contemporary discourse on technology and faith. Ultimately, it establishes a reference point for future interdisciplinary research that seeks to harmonise technological advancement with ethical and theological imperatives.

METHOD

This study employs a qualitative research design, grounded in conceptual and literature-based analysis, to explore the intersection of Christian theology and humanoid technology (Puzio, 2023; Fourie, 2020). The qualitative approach allows for an in-depth examination of

theological concepts, ethical principles, and technological practices, emphasising interpretive understanding rather than quantitative measurement. The research relies on secondary sources, theological literature, scholarly publications on artificial intelligence and humanoid robotics, and documents addressing digital ethics. By synthesising insights from these diverse materials, the study aims to construct a coherent conceptual framework that bridges spiritual, moral, and technological domains. This approach facilitates a comprehensive understanding of how theological principles, particularly the Imago Dei, can inform the development and ethical governance of humanoid technology.

Data analysis is conducted through thematic content analysis, identifying recurring patterns, concepts, and ethical considerations across the selected sources. The study systematically compares theological perspectives with current technological practices, highlighting convergences and divergences in moral reasoning and applied innovation. This comparative approach enables the identification of gaps between the ethical ideals suggested by Christian theology and the practical realities of humanoid development. Insights are then synthesised to develop guidelines for aligning technological design with moral and spiritual values. Overall, the methodology ensures a rigorous and integrative analysis that situates humanoid technology within a theologically informed ethical framework.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Christian Theology and the Imago Dei

Christian theology asserts that human beings are created in the image of God, as articulated in Genesis 1:26-27, a concept that extends beyond mere physical resemblance. The term Imago Dei encompasses relational, moral, and rational dimensions, defining the unique dignity and worth of humanity (Muller, 2020; Okonkwo, 2025). Scholars emphasise that it serves as a theological foundation for understanding human responsibility, creativity, and ethical accountability, distinguishing humans from other forms of creation. This framework implies an intrinsic obligation for humans to reflect divine attributes in their interactions and decision-making. Imago Dei also highlights the human capacity for relationality, enabling meaningful engagement with God and others. Its theological significance provides a normative lens for evaluating emerging technologies that replicate human appearance or behaviour, such as humanoid robots.

In modern technological discourse, Imago Dei is increasingly invoked to address ethical questions arising from AI and robotics. The development of humanoid machines challenges conventional notions of what it means to bear God's image, as robots may simulate cognitive or emotional traits but lack the ontological and relational depth of human beings. (Rufiner et al., 2025; Puzio, 2024). This raises ethical concerns about human treatment, moral boundaries, and the potential consequences of replicating human likeness. Theological scholarship emphasises that designing humanoid robots requires discernment, ensuring that technological functionality aligns with respect for human dignity. Developers are encouraged to consider both moral and spiritual implications, not merely technical performance. Consequently, Imago Dei provides a critical lens for assessing the purpose, limits, and governance of humanoid technology.

The intersection of Imago Dei and ethics has inspired substantial scholarly reflection on human creativity and moral responsibility in technological innovation. Humans, as bearers of God's image, are regarded as co-creators within creation, entrusted with responsibilities that surpass mere technical experimentation. This co-creative mandate demands wisdom, restraint, and ethical discernment, especially when designing entities that emulate human traits. Situating technological development within this theological framework allows evaluation of whether humanoid robots promote or hinder human flourishing. Imago Dei thus functions as a practical guide for ethical decision-making in technology, reinforcing moral accountability. By integrating theological reflection with contemporary ethical discourse, it provides a foundation for responsible, interdisciplinary approaches to human-robot interaction.

Humanoid Technology

Humanoid technology encompasses robots or artificial agents designed to emulate human form and behaviour, enabling interaction that is both physical and social. Its evolution dates back to early automata of the Renaissance and mechanised figures in industrial contexts, advancing dramatically with modern robotics, artificial intelligence, and cognitive computing. (Lochrin, 2024; Shahghasemi, 2025). Today, humanoid robots are engineered for complex tasks, from social interaction to healthcare support and domestic assistance, reflecting an interdisciplinary convergence of engineering, neuroscience, and computer science. These machines increasingly replicate human physical traits, gestures, facial expressions, and vocal patterns, enhancing their integration and acceptance in human environments. Cognitive abilities such as decision-making, learning, and emotional recognition distinguish humanoids from conventional robots, allowing richer and more adaptive interactions. Consequently, humanoid technology challenges traditional distinctions between humans and machines, prompting ethical, social, and theological reflection.

A defining characteristic of humanoid technology is its ability to simulate human morphology and behaviour with remarkable fidelity. (Tong et al., 2024; Gu et al., 2025). Physical anthropomorphism, including bipedal locomotion, articulated limbs, and lifelike facial structures, facilitates intuitive engagement and social presence. Behavioural mimicry, such as gesture recognition, conversation, and context-sensitive responses, allows humanoids to perform roles traditionally occupied by humans. Cognitive simulation, powered by AI, enables machines to interpret stimuli, process information, and make adaptive decisions. The integration of sensors, actuators, and machine learning systems creates a holistic approximation of human experience, although lacking the ontological depth inherent to human personhood. This combination of physical, behavioural, and cognitive traits positions humanoids as uniquely challenging subjects for both technical assessment and ethical scrutiny.

The advancement of humanoid technology has been propelled by interdisciplinary research spanning robotics, AI, and human-computer interaction. Innovations in materials science, neural networks, and natural language processing have enhanced both the functional and expressive capabilities of humanoid robots. Current research emphasises emotional recognition, empathetic response, and adaptive learning to foster natural and socially meaningful interactions. This trajectory reflects a shift from mechanical automata to

autonomous agents with sophisticated cognitive architectures, raising questions of human identity, agency, and relationality. As humanoids assume roles requiring social and emotional competencies, ethical and theological considerations become increasingly critical. Integrating these perspectives allows developers and scholars to create guidelines that balance technological innovation with respect for human dignity and moral responsibility.

Digital Ethics

Digital ethics examines the moral principles guiding the development, deployment, and use of digital technologies, including AI, robotics, and data-driven systems. It addresses challenges such as bias, accountability, and privacy, emphasising the social and ethical responsibilities of developers, organisations, and users. (Al-Kfairy et al., 2025; Díaz de la Cruz et al., 2025). Fairness requires ensuring that technological systems do not perpetuate inequalities or harm marginalised communities, while accountability emphasises monitoring, mitigating, and taking responsibility for unintended consequences. Privacy concerns focus on the protection of personal information, informed consent, and transparency in data collection and processing. Collectively, these principles provide a foundation for responsible engagement with digital environments and the equitable implementation of technology. By embedding these ethical considerations, society can navigate the benefits and risks of pervasive computing and AI systems.

In the context of AI and robotics, digital ethics is especially critical due to the autonomous capabilities of machines that can influence human behaviour, social norms, and economic outcomes. Ethical frameworks call for transparency in algorithmic processes, continuous oversight, and anticipatory measures to prevent harm and misuse. Scholars argue that integrating normative principles from philosophy, law, sociology, and theology provides holistic guidance for responsible technological innovation. (Lescrauwaet et al., 2022; Mirzaie, 2025). Foregrounding ethical concerns during design and deployment reduces risks related to bias, discrimination, and exploitation while fostering human flourishing. By emphasising human-centred approaches, ethical design ensures that technology serves societal needs without undermining intrinsic human dignity. Digital ethics thus functions as both a preventive and guiding tool for sustainable technological development.

Practical implementation of digital ethics often involves strategies such as value-sensitive design, ethical auditing, and participatory development. Value-sensitive design embeds societal and moral values into technical systems to align innovation with human priorities. Ethical auditing monitors algorithms and robotic systems for bias, unintended harms, or violations of privacy, ensuring accountability throughout their lifecycle. Participatory development engages diverse stakeholders, including users, ethicists, and policymakers, to co-create technology that reflects shared values. From a theological perspective, integrating principles such as Imago Dei reinforces human dignity, relationality, and moral responsibility in technology design. Ultimately, digital ethics serves as a bridge between technical capability and morally coherent practice, ensuring that advanced technologies contribute positively to human and societal well-being.

Theoretical Framework

Christian theological perspectives provide a foundational approach for analysing the development of humanoid technology. Central to this perspective is the concept of human dignity, grounded in the Imago Dei, which asserts that all human beings are created in the image of God and possess intrinsic worth (Müller, 2020; Măcelaru, 2021; Szczerba, 2020). This theological principle informs ethical reflection, emphasising that human life must be respected and protected in all dimensions—spiritual, moral, and relational. Furthermore, Christian theology positions humans as co-creators and stewards of creation, entrusted with the responsibility for managing natural and technological resources wisely. As co-creators, humans are called to exercise discernment, moral accountability, and ethical foresight in developing new technologies. This framework provides a normative foundation for evaluating the purpose, limits, and ethical implications of humanoid innovation.

The concept of humans as co-creators extends to the development of artificial agents and humanoid systems. Human creativity, when guided by ethical and theological principles, can produce technologies that enhance human flourishing and societal well-being. However, this co-creative role carries responsibilities, including the anticipation of potential harms, prevention of misuse, and promotion of justice and equity. Christian theology emphasises that technological power must not compromise human dignity or relational integrity. (Hia, 2025; Elizabeth & Mikaere, 2025; Ali, 2020). The principle of stewardship demands that humanoid technologies be designed to serve humanity, augment human capabilities, and uphold moral and spiritual values. This perspective frames technological innovation not merely as functional achievement but as a morally accountable endeavour.

Humanoid ethics requires careful consideration of design, deployment, and societal integration to align with Christian values. Ethical guidelines for humanoid use should prioritise the protection of human dignity, the promotion of justice, and the enhancement of relational and social well-being. Theological reflection informs the boundaries of humanoid application, ensuring that machines remain tools for human service rather than substitutes for authentic human presence. Ethical assessment must consider potential risks such as manipulation, emotional exploitation, bias, and dehumanisation in human-robot interaction. By embedding moral principles into both design and operation, humanoids can contribute positively to social contexts while respecting theological imperatives. For instance, several care-robot prototypes used in eldercare facilities—such as Japan's humanoid robot Pepper and Korea's Silbot—are programmed with ethical interaction protocols that prevent deceptive emotional manipulation, ensure respect for personal autonomy, and avoid replacing essential human relational roles. (Kim et al, 2022). In practical application, these robots assist with daily tasks, provide reminders for medication, and facilitate cognitive therapy, yet are intentionally restricted from offering spiritual or moral guidance to prevent overstepping the boundaries of human-centred pastoral and ethical responsibility. (Liao et al, 2023). Similarly, AI-driven pastoral support tools employed in some churches are designed with transparency features that clearly disclose their non-human status and include safeguards preventing them from issuing doctrinal judgments, thereby aligning technological use with Christian ethical concerns regarding truthfulness, dignity, and relational authenticity. This approach transforms ethical reasoning from abstract principles into practical guidelines for responsible innovation.

Risk analysis is a critical component of humanoid ethics, addressing both anticipated and unintended consequences of technology. Humans, as designers and operators, retain moral responsibility for the actions, decisions, and societal impacts of humanoid systems. Christian ethical thought highlights accountability as a central concern, asserting that technological advancement should not absolve humans of their moral obligations. Ethical evaluation should anticipate potential harms, including social inequities, relational disruption, and compromise of human dignity. Moral responsibility entails continuous oversight, auditing, and adjustment to ensure that humanoid deployment aligns with human-centred values. This proactive ethical engagement reinforces the stewardship role of humans in the technological sphere.

The integration of Christian theology and digital ethics emphasises the guiding role of Imago Dei in technological development. Imago Dei serves as a normative reference, reminding developers that humans possess unique spiritual, moral, and relational capacities that cannot be replicated by machines. This principle provides ethical boundaries for humanoid design, ensuring that technology respects human integrity and relationality. By grounding ethical evaluation in the theological affirmation of human worth, developers can assess the appropriateness of humanoid functions and interactions. Imago Dei thus functions as a conceptual bridge between spiritual values and technical practice, guiding decisions about what technologies should be created and how they should be used. This integration fosters coherence between theological reflection and practical ethics.

The doctrine of Imago Dei provides the foundational framework for shaping human engagement with humanoid technology. Rather than centring on abstract ethical virtues alone, Christian theology asserts that every human being possesses inherent and incomparable dignity by virtue of bearing the divine image. (Wyatt & Williams, 2021). This theological principle establishes clear boundaries for human–robot interaction: humanoids, regardless of their sophistication or human-like characteristics, cannot participate in or replicate the essence of Imago Dei. (Wyatt, 2020). As a result, technological development must ensure that humanoids function in ways that uphold and safeguard human dignity, rather than diminish or obscure it. From this perspective, the design and deployment of humanoid systems must prioritise the enhancement of human agency, relational capacity, and moral responsibility—qualities rooted in the divine image. Midson (2020) notes that emerging technologies challenge contemporary understandings of relationality and embodiment, making theological anthropology essential for evaluating human–robot boundaries. Ethical concerns such as exploitation, dehumanisation, or the substitution of authentic human presence become significant markers of whether a given technology aligns with or violates Christian conceptions of human uniqueness. (Cole-Turner, 2022). Embedding an Imago Dei-centred ethic into technological frameworks ensures that innovation reinforces human distinctiveness, preserves moral accountability, and supports the flourishing of individuals and communities. In doing so, human–robot interactions maintain coherence with Christian theological commitments while enabling the responsible integration of humanoid technologies into society.

Digital ethics complements theological principles by providing operational strategies for responsible humanoid development. Value-sensitive design, ethical auditing, and participatory development translate abstract moral and spiritual values into practical mechanisms for technological governance. These strategies ensure that algorithms, data collection, and

interactive behaviours reflect fairness, accountability, and human-centred priorities. Integration of theological insights with digital ethics strengthens the alignment between technical functionality and moral responsibility. By uniting ethical frameworks with spiritual considerations, developers can create humanoids that respect human dignity while fulfilling practical roles. This convergence of theology and digital ethics forms a comprehensive approach to technology governance.

The theoretical framework also addresses the relational dimension of human-robot interaction. Humans engage not only with machines but also with the broader social and moral environment shaped by technology. Christian theology emphasises that authentic relationships require mutual recognition, accountability, and moral responsibility, qualities that humanoids cannot fully embody. Ethical and theological reflection ensures that humanoid deployment supports rather than diminishes human relational capacity. Design and operational decisions should prioritise social cohesion, empathy, and the preservation of human connection. This relational focus provides an essential criterion for evaluating humanoid functionality within ethical and spiritual frameworks.

The framework underscores the importance of interdisciplinary collaboration in humanoid development. The integration of theology, ethics, and technology studies allows for a holistic evaluation of both practical and moral implications. Developers, ethicists, and theologians can collaboratively define guidelines, risk assessments, and design principles that honour human dignity and relational integrity. Such collaboration ensures that humanoid innovation is guided by rigorous ethical reasoning grounded in spiritual values. It also facilitates the translation of normative principles into actionable standards for technological practice. Ultimately, this approach ensures that humanoid development remains aligned with the overarching goals of human flourishing and moral accountability.

The theoretical framework establishes a comprehensive lens for analysing humanoid technology through Christian theology and digital ethics. The Imago Dei provides the foundational principle for safeguarding human dignity, moral responsibility, and relational integrity. Ethical guidelines derived from Christian values and digital ethics inform design, deployment, and oversight, addressing risks such as manipulation, bias, and dehumanisation. Principles of love, justice, and stewardship guide human engagement with technology, ensuring that humanoids serve rather than replace human capacities. Interdisciplinary integration strengthens both theoretical coherence and practical applicability, creating a robust framework for responsible innovation. This theoretical foundation ultimately positions Christian theology and digital ethics as mutually reinforcing guides for the moral and ethical development of humanoid technology.

Analysis of Imago Dei in Relation to Humanoid Technology

The analysis of humanoid technology through the lens of Imago Dei reveals that certain dimensions of human existence remain irreplaceable by machines. Spiritual capacity, including awareness of God, transcendence, and personal vocation, is inherently human and cannot be authentically replicated by humanoid systems. Moral discernment, rooted in conscience and ethical responsibility, provides humans with the ability to navigate complex situations with

intentionality and empathy. Relational depth, encompassing meaningful interpersonal connections and community engagement, distinguishes human interaction from programmed machine responses. These facets highlight fundamental limitations of humanoid technology and emphasise the need to recognise and preserve the unique value of human life.

Humanoid robots can simulate behaviour, decision-making, and emotional responses, yet these simulations lack the ontological foundation of human existence. While AI-driven machines can mimic empathy or ethical reasoning, such processes are ultimately algorithmic and devoid of intrinsic moral awareness. (Kumar, 2025; Baltezarević & Battista, 2025). From a Christian theological perspective, this underscores a boundary for technological creation: machines may assist and augment human life, but cannot supplant the spiritual and moral essence of humanity. The Imago Dei provides a normative standard for evaluating these limits, ensuring that technological innovation respects rather than erodes human dignity. Recognising these boundaries is crucial for both ethical guidance and responsible design in robotics.

Theological reflection indicates that the creation of humanoid robots must be approached with humility and restraint. Human beings, as co-creators in God's design, have a responsibility to exercise wisdom when extending technological capabilities. Ethical oversight informed by the Imago Dei demands that humanoids serve human welfare without undermining relational or spiritual values. The deployment of humanoids in sensitive contexts, such as caregiving or education, should account for potential moral and emotional implications. By situating humanoid development within this framework, technological innovation aligns with theological principles while fostering human flourishing.

The relational dimension of Imago Dei also presents critical considerations for human-robot interaction. Genuine relationships involve mutual recognition, emotional reciprocity, and accountability, attributes that humanoid machines cannot authentically possess. Human reliance on humanoid companions may risk attenuating interpersonal bonds if relational needs are partially delegated to machines. Therefore, ethical deployment must ensure that humanoid technology enhances, rather than diminishes, human-to-human connections. Theological insight guides designers to prioritise relational integrity while harnessing the practical benefits of robotics.

The moral implications of humanoid technology extend to issues of agency and responsibility. Humans are accountable for the actions and outcomes of machines they create, reflecting the co-creative responsibility emphasised in Christian theology. Ethical frameworks derived from Imago Dei suggest that developers must anticipate potential harms, biases, and social consequences in humanoid design. By integrating these moral obligations into technological planning, humans maintain stewardship over creation while mitigating risks of misuse. This approach reinforces the notion that technological power must be exercised within defined ethical boundaries.

From a spiritual perspective, humanoid technology cannot replicate human purpose or vocation. Humans are called to seek meaning, cultivate virtues, and engage in worshipful and transformative relationships with God and others (Montecel, 2024). While humanoids can simulate tasks or behaviours, they lack the capacity for spiritual discernment or transcendent intentionality. Recognising this distinction underscores the irreplaceable role of human creativity and responsibility in technological advancement. Consequently, the integration of

theological reflection ensures that technological innovation respects the sanctity and dignity of human life. Such reflection also prevents the subtle displacement of human vocation by mechanised efficiency, reminding society that technological progress must remain subordinate to divine purpose. By grounding innovation within the framework of the Imago Dei, Christian theology affirms that human uniqueness cannot be transferred, replicated, or mechanised, regardless of advancements in artificial intelligence.

Implications of Digital Ethics

The development of humanoid technology presents significant ethical risks when moral considerations are neglected. Unregulated deployment of humanoids may lead to manipulation of human emotions, reinforcement of societal biases, or inadvertent discrimination in areas such as employment, healthcare, and education. Furthermore, overreliance on humanoid systems can erode human dignity, reducing interpersonal relationships to transactional or artificial interactions. From a Christian ethical perspective, such outcomes conflict with the principles of respect, justice, and stewardship embedded in the Imago Dei. Identifying and understanding these risks is crucial to ensure that technological innovation serves rather than undermines human flourishing.

Humanoid technology also carries the potential for subtle moral displacement, where humans may defer ethical responsibility to machines. (DeFalco, 2020). When decision-making is delegated to autonomous systems, accountability can become obscured, resulting in diminished moral awareness and ethical engagement. This raises questions about the human obligation to exercise conscience, intentionality, and relational responsibility in interaction with technology. Ethical frameworks grounded in Christian theology emphasise that humans must retain ultimate responsibility for choices mediated by artificial agents. Recognizing this dynamic reinforces the importance of human-centred design in the development of humanoid robots.

Privacy concerns represent another significant dimension of ethical risk in humanoid technology. (Zalukhu & Ester, 2025). Humanoid robots often rely on extensive data collection, including personal, behavioural, and biometric information, to function effectively. Without careful oversight, this data could be exploited, misused, or inadequately protected, potentially violating individual rights and moral norms. Christian ethical reflection calls for safeguarding personal dignity and ensuring that technological systems respect both the autonomy and confidentiality of human subjects. Incorporating privacy protections into design and operational protocols is therefore a moral imperative for developers.

In addition, humanoid technology can inadvertently perpetuate social biases and inequalities if ethical principles are neglected. Algorithms that control humanoid decision-making may reflect preexisting biases in datasets or design assumptions, reinforcing stereotypes and discrimination. Ethical deployment requires systematic auditing and assessment of these biases, coupled with measures to ensure fairness and equity. From a theological standpoint, such practices align with the Christian commitment to justice, equality, and care for vulnerable populations. These principles demand vigilance to prevent technological reinforcement of societal harms.

Christian ethical principles provide a normative foundation for addressing these challenges in humanoid development. Principles such as respect for human dignity, promotion of justice, and the common good guide both the conceptualisation and practical implementation of robotic systems. Ethical frameworks informed by theology emphasise that technology should serve humanity, augment human capabilities, and support moral flourishing rather than supplant or exploit it. In this regard, humanoid robots should be designed as tools that assist and empower humans while preserving relational and spiritual integrity. This perspective ensures that ethical reasoning remains central to technological innovation.

Practical ethical strategies in humanoid design include value-sensitive design, participatory development, and continuous ethical auditing. Value-sensitive design integrates moral and societal values directly into the technical architecture of humanoid systems. Participatory development engages diverse stakeholders—including ethicists, theologians, and end-users—to ensure technology reflects shared ethical priorities. Continuous ethical auditing monitors algorithmic outputs, behaviours, and social impacts, correcting deviations that could harm human dignity or fairness. Together, these approaches operationalise ethical principles in tangible design and deployment practices.

Digital ethics also requires proactive education and awareness among developers and users of humanoid technology. Understanding the moral implications of AI and humanoid systems fosters responsible design, critical evaluation, and conscientious use. Developers trained in ethical reasoning are better equipped to anticipate potential harms, incorporate safeguards, and respect the intrinsic value of human beings. Similarly, users who are aware of ethical considerations are less likely to misuse technology or diminish the dignity of others. This dual focus on education and responsibility strengthens the ethical ecosystem surrounding humanoid development.

Integrating digital ethics with theological reflection ensures that humanoid technology aligns with Christian values and broader moral principles. By foregrounding human dignity, relationality, and justice, ethical guidelines can mitigate risks such as manipulation, bias, and dehumanisation. This approach encourages developers to create technology that complements human capacities and enhances societal well-being. Interdisciplinary dialogue among theologians, ethicists, and technologists fosters responsible innovation and morally coherent deployment. In this way, the study demonstrates that the ethical development of humanoid technology is not only a technical challenge but a moral and spiritual responsibility.

CONCLUSION

Humanoid technology, while increasingly sophisticated in mimicking human appearance and behaviour, remains a creation of human ingenuity rather than an authentic human being. These machines, regardless of cognitive or emotional simulation, cannot embody the spiritual, moral, and relational dimensions intrinsic to human existence. Christian theology underscores that humans, as bearers of the Imago Dei, possess an inherent dignity and moral responsibility that cannot be replicated or supplanted by artificial entities. The implications of the Imago Dei, therefore, require that humanoids never be treated as moral equals to humans, nor granted roles that compromise human uniqueness, relational depth, or spiritual vocation. Recognising this

distinction is essential to ensure that technological innovation respects the unique value of human life and preserves the integrity of human relationships. Humanoids should therefore be conceptualised as tools designed to assist, augment, and support human activity rather than replace or diminish it. This theological grounding safeguards against the erosion of human identity, ensuring that technological efficiency never overrides humanity's God-given worth and purpose. Maintaining this theological awareness provides a normative framework for guiding responsible technological development.

Moreover, the ethical implications of humanoid technology demand careful consideration through the lens of digital ethics. Ethical guidelines rooted in fairness, accountability, privacy, and respect for human dignity must govern both the design and application of humanoid systems. Integrating theological reflection with digital ethics ensures that innovation aligns with human-centred values, safeguarding against manipulation, bias, or dehumanisation. Developers and policymakers are called to prioritise moral and spiritual considerations alongside technical performance, creating technology that contributes to human flourishing. By harmonising Christian theological principles with ethical standards in AI and robotics, society can advance humanoid innovation responsibly and sustainably. Ultimately, this study affirms that the convergence of Imago Dei and digital ethics offers a comprehensive framework for ethically coherent and morally accountable technological progress.

REFERENCES

Al-Kfairy, M., Alrabaee, S., Alfandi, O., Taha Mohamed, A., & Khaddaj, S. (2025). Navigating Ethical Dimensions in the Metaverse: Challenges, Frameworks, and Solutions. *IEEE Access*, *13*, 79996–80018. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2025.3564498

Ali, R. (2020). Faith and Technology: Theological Reflections on the Future of Human Civilisation. *International Bulletin of Theology and Civilisation*, *3*(2), 67–72.

Baltezarević, B. V., & Battista, D. (2025). Artificial Intelligence and Cognitive Boundaries: A Critical Examination of Intelligence, Understanding, and Consciousness. *Knowledge - International Journal*, *69*(1), 61–66.

Bao, L., Krause, N. M., Calice, M. N., Scheufele, D. A., Wirz, C. D., Brossard, D., Newman, T. P., & Xenos, M. A. (2022). Whose AI? How Different Publics Think About AI and Its Social Impacts. *Computers in Human Behavior*, *130*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2022.107182

Cole-Turner, R. (Ed.). (2022). *Transhumanism and the Image of God: Today's Technology and the Future of Christian Discipleship*. Abingdon Press.

Deusdad, B. (2024). Ethical Implications in Using Robots Among Older Adults Living with Dementia. *Frontiers in Psychiatry, 15*, Article 1436273. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyt.2024.1436273

DeFalco, A. (2020). Towards a Theory of Posthuman Care: Real Humans and Caring Robots. *Body and Society*, *26*(3), 31–60. https://doi.org/10.1177/1357034X20917450

Díaz de la Cruz, C., Fernández Fernández, J. L., & Villegas-Galaviz, C. (2025). Model of Ethical Analysis of Digital Technologies: Towards True Digital Humanism. *AI and Society*, 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00146-025-02330-w

Elizabeth, E., & Mikaere, G. (2025). Christian Service Ethics in Facing the Challenges of the

Digital World: A Theological-Ethical Perspective on Digital Engagement. *Ministries and Theology*, *2*(2), 55–64. https://doi.org/10.35335/2jna6x92

Fourie, L. C. H. (2020). Technology and Theology: Finding The Real God. In *Engaging The Fourth Industrial Revolution: Perspecfives from Theology, Philosophy and Education* (pp. 11–43). Sun Media Bloemfontein (Pty) Ltd. https://library.oapen.org/bitstream/handle/20.500.12657/59092/1/9781928424512.pdf#page=28

Gu, Z., Li, J., Shen, W., Yu, W., Xie, Z., McCrory, S., Cheng, X., Shamsah, A., Griffin, R., Liu, C. K., Kheddar, A., Peng, X. Bin, Zhu, Y., Shi, G., Nguyen, Q., Cheng, G., Gao, H., & Zhao, Y. (2025). Humanoid Locomotion and Manipulation: Current Progress and Challenges in Control, Planning, and Learning. *ArXiv*. https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2501.02116

Hia, M. (2025). Christian Teacher Ethics in Society 5.0: Integrating Faith Values in Digital Education. *Didaskalia: Jurnal Pendidikan Agama Kristen*, *8*(1), 52–69. https://doi.org/10.33856/didaskalia.v8i1.528

Kim, J. W., et al. (2022). A Care Robot with Ethical Sensing System for Older Adults. *Sensors, 22*(19), 7484. https://doi.org/10.3390/s22197484

Kumar, D. S. (2025). Algorithmic Ethics and the Human Mind: A Cross-Disciplinary Study on AI Decision-Making and Moral Philosophy. *Bookgram Publishers Multidisciplinary Academia Journal*, *01*(01), 1–9. https://doi.org/10.63665/bpmaj.v1i1.1

Lescrauwaet, L., Wagner, H., Yoon, C., & Shukla, S. (2022). Adaptive Legal Frameworks and Economic Dynamics in Emerging Tech-nologies: Navigating the Intersection for Responsible Innovation. *Law and Economics*, *16*(3), 202–220. https://doi.org/10.35335/laweco.v16i3.61

Liao, Y. J., et al. (2023). Use of a Humanoid Robot in Supporting Dementia Care: Perceptions of Non-Healthcare Workers, Care Partners, and Healthcare Workers. *SAGE Open Nursing, 9*, 1–13. https://doi.org/10.1177/23779608231179528

Lochrin, É. (2024). *The Role of The Automaton From Ancient Greece to The Modern Day* [Institute of Art, Design, Technology]. https://hdl.handle.net/10779/iadt.25219382.v1

Măcelaru, M. (2021). Created in God's Image: Human Dignity in Biblical Perspective. *Jurnalul Libertăţii de Conştiinţă*, *9*(3), 596–608.

Midson, S. (2020). *Love, Technology and Theology*. Bloomsbury T&T Clark.

Mirzaie, A. (2025). Rethinking Social Context in Technological Responsibility - Steven Umbrello, Technology Ethics: Responsible Innovation and Design Strategies. *Academicus International Scientific Journal*, *31*, 57–64. https://doi.org/10.7336/academicus.2025.31.04

Montecel, X. M. (2024). Sacramental Worship and the Life of Virtue. *Liturgy*, *39*(3), 122–131. https://doi.org/10.1080/0458063X.2024.2369030

Muller, S. (2020). Concepts and Dimensions of Human Dignity in the Christian Tradition. *Interdiciplinary Journal for Religion and Transformation in Contemporary Society*, *6*(1), 22–55. https://doi.org/doi.org/10.30965/23642807-00601003

Müller, S. (2020). Concepts and Dimensions of Human Dignity in the Christian Tradition. In *Interdisciplinary Journal for Religion and Transformation in Contemporary Society,* 6(1), 22–55. https://doi.org/10.30965/23642807-00601003

Okonkwo, P. I. (2025). The Imago Dei and the Vocation of Consecrtaed Life: Understanding Identity. *IGWEBUIKE: An African Journal of Arts and Humanities*, *11*(4), 150–163.

Prem, E. (2023). From Ethical AI Frameworks to Tools: A Review of Approaches. *AI and Ethics*, *3*(3), 699–716. https://doi.org/10.1007/s43681-023-00258-9

Puzio, A. (2023). Theology Meets AI: Examining Perspectives, Tasks, and Theses on the Intersection of Technology and Religion. *Theology and Artificial Intelligence*, *1*, 29–41. https://doi.org/10.53186/1030373

Puzio, A. (2024). Not Relational Enough? Towards an Eco-Relational Approach in Robot Ethics. *Philosophy and Technology*, *37*(2). https://doi.org/10.1007/s13347-024-00730-2

Rufiner, H. L., José, J. G., Asla, M., Giovanini, L., & Majul, E. A. (2025). Non-Human Agents: Exploring Modern AI Through the Lens of Contemporary Ontology and Theology. *Theology and Science*, *23*(3), 440–459. https://doi.org/10.1080/14746700.2025.2514297

Seifert, J., Friedrich, O., & Schleidgen, S. (2022). Imitating the Human. New Human–Machine Interactions in Social Robots. *NanoEthics*, *16*(2), 181–192. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11569-022-00418-x

Shahghasemi, E. (2025). AI; A Human Future. *Journal of Cyberspace Studies (JCSS)*, *9*(1), 145–173. https://doi.org/10.22059/jcss.2025.389027.1123

Solaiman, I., Talat, Z., Agnew, W., Ahmad, L., Baker, D., Blodgett, S. L., Chen, C., Daumé, H., Dodge, J., Duan, I., Evans, E., Friedrich, F., Ghosh, A., Gohar, U., Hooker, S., Jernite, Y., Kalluri, R., Lusoli, A., Leidinger, A., … Subramonian, A. (2023). *Evaluating the Social Impact of Generative AI Systems in Systems and Society,* 1-41. https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2306.05949. https://arxiv.org/abs/2306.05949

Szczerba, W. (2020). The Concept of Imago Dei as a Symbol of Religious Inclusion and Human Dignity. *Forum Philosophicum*, *25*(1), 13–36. https://doi.org/10.35765/forphil.2020.2501.2

Tong, Y., Liu, H., & Zhang, Z. (2024). Advancements in Humanoid Robots: A Comprehensive Review and Future Prospects. *IEEE/CAA Journal of Automatica Sinica*, *11*(2), 301–328. https://doi.org/10.1109/JAS.2023.124140

Uchenna Joseph Umoga, Enoch Oluwademilade Sodiya, Ejike David Ugwuanyi, Boma Sonimitiem Jacks, Oluwaseun Augustine Lottu, Obinna Donald Daraojimba, & Alexander Obaigbena. (2024). Exploring the Potential of AI-Driven Optimization in Enhancing Network Performance and Efficiency. *Magna Scientia Advanced Research and Reviews*, *10*(1), 368–378. https://doi.org/10.30574/msarr.2024.10.1.0028

Wyatt, J. (2020). *Being Human in the Age of Artificial Intelligence*. SPCK.

Wyatt, J., & Williams, S. (Eds.). (2021). *The Robot will See You Now: Artificial Intelligence and the Christian Faith*. SPCK.

Zalukhu, A. (2025). *Dari Yesus Sejarah ke Yesus Digital: Menelusuri Transformasi Spiritual di Era Artificial Intelligence.* Jurnal Pendidikan Agama Kristen, 25(2), 337–353. https://doi.org/10.34150/jpak.v25i2.885

Zalukhu, A., & Ester, E. (2025). Examining the Roles of Historical and Digital Jesus in Counseling, Spiritual Growth, and Ethics. *TELEIOS: Jurnal Teologi dan Pendidikan Agama Kristen*, *5*(1), 17–34. https://doi.org/10.53674/teleios.v5i1.243